IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

TROY LAMONT BROOKS, #156 706

Plaintiff,

2:07-CV-177-WKW v. (WO)

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,

Defendants.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On April 5, 2007 the court granted Plaintiff twelve days to show cause why his complaint should not be dismissed for his failure to submit a prison account statement from the account clerk at the Bullock Correctional Facility as directed by orders filed March 6 and 15, 2007. (Doc. No. 6.) Plaintiff was cautioned that his failure to comply with the April 5, 2007 order would result in a Recommendation that his complaint be dismissed. (Id.) The requisite time has passed and Plaintiff has not complied with the order of the court. Consequently, the court concludes that dismissal of this case is appropriate for Plaintiff's failures to comply with the orders of the court and to prosecute this action.

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failures to comply with the orders of the court and to prosecute this action.

It is further

Case 2:07-cv-00177-WKW-SRW Document 7 Filed 05/11/2007 Page 2 of 2

ORDERED that the parties are DIRECTED to file any objections to the said

Recommendation on or before May 24, 2007. Any objections filed must specifically identify

the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which the party objects.

Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The

parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore,

it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations in the

Magistrate Judge's report shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the District

Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual

findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain

error or manifest injustice. Nettles v. Wainwright, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). See Stein

v. Reynolds Securities, Inc., 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). See also Bonner v. City of

Prichard, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981) (en banc), adopting as binding precedent all of the

decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on

September 30, 1981.

DONE, this 11th day of May, 2007.

/s/ Susan Russ Walker

SUSAN RUSS WALKER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE